

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
7 WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON  
8 AT TACOMA

9 JOSHUA HARTLEY,

10 Plaintiff,

v.

11 NATIONAL RAILROAD PASSENGER  
12 CORPORATION d/b/a AMTRAK,

13 Defendant.

CASE NO. C18-5576 BHS

ORDER GRANTING  
DEFENDANT'S MOTION FOR  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT

14 This matter comes before the Court on Defendant National Railroad Passenger  
15 Corporation d/b/a Amtrak's ("Amtrak") motion for summary judgment on punitive  
16 damages. Dkt. 18.

17 On October 30, 2019, Amtrak filed the instant motion. *Id.* On November 20,  
18 2019, Plaintiff Joshua Hartley responded. Dkt. 20. On November 22, 2019, Amtrak  
19 replied. Dkt. 23.  
20  
21  
22

1       Upon review of the motion, the Court grants the motion on the same grounds and  
2 for the same reasons set forth in *Mitchem v. Nat'l R.R. Passenger Corp.*, C18-5366-BHS,  
3 2020 WL 91490 (W.D. Wash. Jan. 8, 2020) and related cases cited therein.

4       **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

5       Dated this 26th day of February, 2020.

6  
7       

8       \_\_\_\_\_  
9 BENJAMIN H. SETTLE  
10 United States District Judge  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22